De voorzitter van de Tweede Kamer Der Staten Generaal.

Maymayyy Vara Barakaran

Mevrouw Vera Bergkamp

Plein 2 2511 CR Den Haag. Postbus 20018, 2500 EA.

Per e-mail: voorzitter@tweedekamer.nl

Dear Chairwoman, mrs. Bergkamp,

17 November 2021.

I write to you in the most popular international language and explain why.

The chairwoman of the "Tweede Kamer" has asked the Council of Europe for an opinion and in doing so has been agreed with me that foreign countries should know about the criminality of or in the legal system that actually rules the Netherlands.

Afz.: <Sender>.

<Address sender>. <Place sender>.

The opinion received, provides in parts the aim that the officials in charge of judging should become openly part of the executive branch and openly part of the legislature. So everything that the civil service in the courts and tribunals or judges now secretly weave into their judicial decisions will then (imaginary) be public so that nothing actually improves for the individual civil citizen.

In the meantime, the destructive effect of officials' domination has also penetrated parliament and has been announced by the clerk of the standing parliamentary commission on Justice and Security and previously Security and Justice. Parliament let this resistless happen. Attached is my message to this Registrar about her lies, forgery and perjury, together with the causal letter from the Registrar and the decisions-list of the involved meeting of 3 November 2021 [*1]. The reality is that the civil service can do this with impunity and this affair again is covered up. Nevertheless, the "Tweede Kamer" is accountable for those who carry out the work of the "Tweede Kamer". I expect that solid measures will be taken against these servants or officers.

Council of Europe

The chairwoman expressed the questions, published by the Council of Europe in its opinion (quote): "What laws, what implementation or what practices have contributed to the fact that power and countervailing power worked insufficiently in this case and that the citizen was crushed in the middle?" and then "What possible solutions are there to repair this and to prevent its occurrence in the future?".

Solution

It is solid practice to resolve the determined diagnosis by a treatment. But the Council of Europe indicates the still to be acquired extension(s) of judicial rule. Thus, carrying out the Council's opinion will lead to aggravation for the individual civil citizen. In addition, the way of implementation will have an extra share and will therefore make it even worse in reality than it appears on paper.

The elite no longer want a tribunal or judge to be last in line. But the court guaranteed by the ECHR (European Convention), which is a public contract, is always and eternally the

last in line because before a case is brought to a court the wrong is already done. That injustice has been done is already established because otherwise the lawsuit is an abuse. The fact that injustice is in dispute is because one of the two parties knew the law (the legal requirement of good faith, Article 3:11 Dutch Civil Code). That this right is equal in all cases is guaranteed by the existence of a law; each law is written for this purpose. So it must be carried out, or must be applied, as that-legislator-purposed. Because there has been no State audit on officials in charge of judging for years and these officials express their own opinion in the decision about what should have been done, the legal system has been completely uprooted and has also crashed: "Right" has become flat business. "Right" is now a commodity that can be purchased and packaged, inter alia, in a test-lawsuit. One empirical example is that Europe wants to buy from Poland the independence of its legal system and judge-system. In the meantime, independence has not remained a Human Right of the individual civil citizen, but has become an object of mutual blackmail or harassment between, also foreign, official departments, bodies or institutions in which the courts are at the forefront; Thus also harassment habit towards individual civil citizens.

The last, preceding, paragraph provides the only sound diagnoses of which's treatment improves the rights and independence of the individual civil citizen. An intolerant exercise delivers the repair within a reasonable time; within a maximum of 6 months. Any repair is governed by Article 8 of the "Charter of Public Control".

In order to avoid unnecessary repetitions, I refer every member of the "Tweede Kamer" to the web dossier at the URL "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" and the internet site of the legal public control at the URL "www.publicscrutiny.nl" where, inter alia, also the Manifesto and the "Charter of Public Control" is available for 24 hours of each day.

Betrayal

The crimes of the judiciary remain unpunished and the wrongs done remain unrepaired. One empirical example is that with the "Allowance Affair" every judge involved has not been punished for perjury and is fired and the criminal court decisions have not been quashed forever. Partly because each judge did not condemn the complicit officials at his court and apparently submitted themselves resistless to their crimes. Because the safety net with a judiciary has not functioned for many years, because of its unpunished and uncorrected crimes, every citizen has been provoked to take his own measures to protect his human and civil rights and besides this, a huge number of wealthy people are busy with their own measures and applications to protect its (enrichment) interests. This discontent, (legal) violence and disorder provoked by the government or parliament is betrayal to the soldiers who had to sacrifice their lives in war for peace and security.

Since many years ago, the national authority (Article 13 ECHR, convention) has been denied to the legal public scrutiny, which authority carries out this public scrutiny's judgments in full and immediately on the courts and tribunals or judges. This denial is injustice.

Request

I urgently request the chairman to distribute this message, with the attachments, to each individual member of parliament. So that it becomes internationally known that the people are no longer represented and that the courts and tribunals or judges remain completely free and protected to continue committing their crimes against individual citizens. It is against this that the House of Representatives must nevertheless accept and enforce the Charter of Public Control; in particular it's Article 8.

The sole fact already, that I and my fellow-sufferers since many years ago still must beg

for the undisturbed enjoyment of and thus the instant pure respect for our Human Rights evidences that "Right" does not exist. It still remains a charity from the elite.

The most recent 2 messages to the standing parliamentary commission on Justice and Security have been sent along. My more previous messages must be retrievable from that commission.

In case the "Tweede Kamer" wants effective consultation about the implementation of the solution, I am available and I can be hold as the representative for an enormous number of solitary individual civil citizens.

Pending, with kind regards, <Sender>.

<Signature sender>

Bijlagen:

- *1 Message to the registrar of the commission J&V at 12 november 2021.

 * With its enclosure: The message to the commission J&V at 12 november 2021.
- *2 Letter from the registrar mrs. A. Brood at 4 november 2021.
- *3 Message to the commission J&V at 15 oktober 2021.
- [*1] Decision-list meeting at 3 November 2021 at URL: https://www.tweedekamer.nl/debat en vergadering/commissievergaderingen/details?id=2021A03626

De griffier van de vaste commissie van de Tweede Kamer voor justitie en veiligheid.

Afz.: <Sender>. <Address sender>. <Place sender>.

Mevrouw A. Brood

Plein 2 2511 CR Den Haag. Postbus 20018, 2500 EA.

Per e-mail: due to secrecy per email-address of the commission cie.jv@tweedekamer.nl

Dear registrar mrs. Brood,

12 November 2021.

I received a response from you, the Registrar, to my message to the commission and separately to each commission member by email.

The response suggests that my message was discussed in the procedural meeting of November 3, 2021 and a decision was taken. According to the published agenda, this was drawn up by the clerk of the court, Mrs. A. Brood, and the published decision-list has also been established by the same registrar.

It is therefore certain that my message has not been put on the agenda and has not been dealt with, so that it is impossible for the commission to take a decision. In addition, according to the decision-list, it has been determined that there are 5 participants, while the commission has 34 members. This also makes it impossible for the commission to make a decision.

So that the decision and the execution is done solely by the registrar, Mrs. Brood, in person. So that the response is a lie or a concatenation of lies. Reading this response, apparently intended to deceive and mislead of both me and the public. You commit forgery in an authentic deed and cheating and perjury.

The rules of 'fair play' require a victim to give an opportunity to remedy wrongs and harm. Thus I give to the clerk, Mrs. Brood, a good opportunity until Friday 19 November 2021 to repair injustice and compensate damage. Before Saturday 20 November 2021, I will receive your offer for recovery and compensation by registered post.

Depending, with kind regards, <Sender>.

<Signature sender>

Enclosure: message to the commission JenV, of this day

De vast commissie van de Tweede Kamer Afz.: <Sender>.

voor justitie en veiligheid. <Address sender>. Plein 2 <Place sender>.

2511 CR Den Haag.

Postbus 20018, 2500 EA.

Per e-mail, naar elk lid van de commissie.

T.a.v. elk van de commissieleden.

Dear members, 12 November 2021.

I write to you in the most popular international language and explain why.

The commission's response

The commission answers that my email and the information is treated and debated in a procedure meeting. But the only letters from the government were placed on the agenda for this meeting on 3 November 2021. So, another lie is sent to me like all earlier answers of the commission. The official letter is forgery.

The responses

One member, mr. Eerdmans, automated deleted my message without noticing it arrived. He represents, the same as mrs. Kaag the moral character and behaviour of our Dutch assumed people's representatives. Out of 34 members of this commission did 21 members' e-mail program return an automated response. The others did not respond at all. While the parliament members are surprised that average humans are made to express their anger by this humiliation; While these members refuge in their selfish world of self-pity and not return to doing the job well.

Empty excuses provoke combat

The members' e-mail program's automated response is the excuse that very many e-mails are daily received which make nearly impossible to answer or answer personally. This excuse is used since 2016 and almost sure since many years before 2016. This amount is not treated as the measure of how much is wrong in our country; again an empiric evidence of the maximal energy putted into not-knowing. Also is this excuse not treated as the measure that the work and the way of work of each member is a mess. Nevertheless are all members informed and is doing nothing a perjury against article 60 of the Dutch Constitution, which gives to each individual civil citizen the right of being represented by the "Staten Generaal". So, now what does each member do besides the action (of combat) that mrs. Kaag exhibited.

Correction

In the preceding letter I wrote for the union of judges and prosecutors the name "Raad voor de Rechtspraak" but this is wrong and the correct name is "Nederlandse Vereniging voor de Rechtspraak".

The request

I submitted the call to restore democracy in the judiciary by respect for the legal public scrutiny. Although it is our Right, as explained, are we individual civil citizens oppressed to beg for this. In despite of this crime is no reply received and neither is the respect publicly declared. To compare; When the parliament is not respected when it requests action via

the government then it may get upset and angry but the people may not when it is ignored by the parliament. This is one more empiric evidence of the selfishness of the people's representatives and of the existence of the latent discrimination line.

Unjust became big business

Now that it is beyond doubt that the parliament knows certainly about the crash of the justice system and the judge-system. In the Netherlands is injustice pure business; the injustice creates (more) work and (more) income and monopolistic power; first of all for the civil service organization inclusive the judiciary and hereafter for professions in the derivate work areas.

An empiric case is the "Toeslagenaffaire" in which a decision is accurate made; then accurate audited in the complaint process, then accurate controlled by the lawsuit, then accurate controlled by the appeal case, then accurate controlled by the higher appeal case, then accurate controlled for the conclusion of "Unpredicted Injustice", and after the decision of compensating all the accurate decision making starts exactly the same all over.

Discrimination

Without reason discriminates the parliament between the victims in the "Toeslagenaffaire" and me and all other victims by courts and tribunals or judges. This discrimination is a crime against the Human Rights. Plus (in the "Toeslagenaffaire" too): no judge is punished and no judgment is destroyed for ever; so no cleaning is done. Plus additionally is also still not ordered that the judiciary is solely competent for 1 judgment in all the equal cases which judgment complies with the requirements in the Manual of the public scrutiny.

Legality of all violence

Nearly all violence only exists by the absence of Human Rights. This violence exists due to the lack of serious listening in the precedings. When violence occurs then the departments of the civil service organization oppresses this with much more violence. This increment is a next phase of escalation (= radicalisation) that provokes a successive phase. This road does not return peace into society. Authorities explain that talking with violating persons is useless, but why is an assumed failure of talking (nearly always) due to others (like the violating persons). Certain is that domination is something outside the world of equality by Human Rights and for sure not the correct attitude.

Authority's testimony in public

In the recent process of forming a government at last took place, on 18 October 2021, a public confession. The two, by the complete parliament assigned, executors unveiled that a selection of the dupe individual civil citizens are invited to be listened to about "what can be done better and must be done different". This testifies explicitly that the parliament has not represented the whole people and failed in its duty to represent the individual civil citizen that it locks out. Until again the escalation phase of threatening starts and then the parliament member has sorrow only for itself.

Useless turning around and around creates work

Again the two executors refuge in the aim to make useless changes in the 'paper world' for improvement. This is done since the year 1848 and evidence over and over again that this does not solve anything. Because the real cause is the continuous decrease down to the unacceptable low moral character of members of the people's representatives. The average individual civil citizens know that on paper nothing needs to be changed because enough already exist.

A Right is not begging for charity

Even the begging is not a Right when begging for charity and this charity is not a Right either. Begging exists solely when dependency exists and dependency is always present by absence of the Human Rights. So, dominance is always a crime against the Human Rights. The only rightful use of power is nothing more then doing the job well. But all this is clearly explained since many years, at the earlier mentioned internet sites.

Avoid intervention by the public

All that persons and instances above the latent discrimination line do, is always only to avoid interventions by the legal public scrutiny. The European Convention on Human Rights supplies, to avoid violence, a national authority to execute the public scrutiny's judgments. This Convention is the property of solely its author and the Convention's purposes cannot be altered by anyone or by anyone's opinion on it.

Finally

For each member too, counts that being well informed but doing nothing adequate in a reasonable time is the result or the execution of a decision. This decision is the crime against Dutch individual civil citizens.

After my letter to each member, with sufficient information, on September 23, 2016 and October 28, 2016 and January 12 and 25, 2021 and October 15, 2021 I continue these and inform you for the sixth time that each member know for sure that the Human Rights do not exist in the Netherlands.

Pending for satisfactory action(s) within a reasonable (short) time, for ungroupable huge number of solitary individual civil citizens and one can take me as their representative.

Yours sincerely, <Sender>.

<Signature sender>





Aan <Addressee>
<Address addressee>
<Place addressee>
e-mail address addressee

Place and date: Den Haag, 4 November 2021

Pertain to: Response regarding excessive and/or serious abuse of office dated 15 October 2021

Our reference: 2021Z18728/2021D41463

Your e-mail of: 15 October 2021

Dear <addressee>,

Your above mentioned e-mail is received en discussed by the standing commission for Justice and Security.

The commission has decided to take your e-mail for notice. That means that the commission does not take any action in response to your e-mail. The members can determine themselves whether or not to use your information as background information or in future debates on this topic with the Cabinet.

On behalf of the commission do I thank you for sending your e-mail.

Yours sincerely,

the registrar of the standing commission for Justice and Security,

A.M. Brood

De vast commissie van de Tweede Kamer Afz.: <Sender>.

voor justitie en veiligheid. <Address sender>. Plein 2 <Place sender>.

2511 CR Den Haag.

Postbus 20018, 2500 EA.

Per e-mail, naar elk lid en ook naar de commissie.

T.a.v. elk van de commissieleden.

Dear members, dear Chairman,

15 October 2021.

I write to you in the most popular international language and explain why.

After my letter to each member, with sufficient information, on September 23, 2016 and October 28, 2016 and January 12, 2021 and January 25, 2021 I continue these and inform you for the fifth time that each member know for sure that the Human Rights do not exist in the Netherlands.

In an attempt to communicate by correspondence with the Dutch Minister-President I got myself in a combat with the representative of the civil service organization. This civil officer sovereignly blocked my access to the Minister-President in spite of my constitutional right by article 5 of the Constitution. This evidences that the Dutch civil service organization is totally out of governmental control and became criminal, more then very likely, since many years ago. In the correspondence sequence became clear that the government is not more then the spokesmen for the civil service organization.

Nevertheless is the government accountable for this way of work and the treatment that is supplied to us, the individual civil citizens. The control by the parliament is far, far below the average acceptable efficiency.

Each of this commission's members locked me out, with my e-mail address. Almost sure I was put automatically on a black list. Each member does evidence not to be open for being a people's representative. Nevertheless, this commission is sufficiently informed since 2016 about the crash of the justice system, also sufficiently informed about the content in the webdossier "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" and about the content in the public scrutiny's internet site "www.publicscrutiny.nl". Each member did nothing, until show-time, and occupied itself with selfishness of which very recent again a perfect example was exhibited.

Mrs. Kaag

Your colleague mrs. Kaag spoke at the trial of and individual civil male citizen who did threaten her. Although this person confessed that his action was by anger, mrs. Kaag only replied about her own emotions. She was not interested at all about the cause of his anger which made him aim his threats to mrs. Kaag and, for example, not to his neighbour. So, the cause of violence is not solved and not anything improved. The man on trial (and we) experienced once more that he is left. Mrs. Kaag advertised her action to decrease the matrix for aggression but in reality she increases it with adding a new experience and spread this matrix by the certainty of lack of outlook to the individual civil citizens concerned. Mrs. Kaag represents on this event each people's representative whether she likes it or not.

Mrs. Kaag's blunder

Mrs. Kaag knows that preceding his threats this man should his unjust have been judged by the guaranteed tribunal, or cleared up by a non-discriminating judgment for all equal cases. To stop escalating or as the parliament names this: "radicalisation". But mrs. Kaag did not speak on or about this topic. In the Netherlands is provoking a crime of the provoking persons thus the justice system and mrs. Kaag with the persons she represents.

Mrs. Kaag knows that judges and prosecutors are united in the union "Raad voor de Rechtspraak". So, the public too knows that those trials are only for the show and for oppresion.

More wrong

Mrs. Kaag knows that in the Netherlands a fair trial in a public hearing by a tribunal or judge with a high moral character is impossible; each of all three do not exist. This event once more shows the maximal energy that persons and instances above the latent discrimination line put into not-knowing; Good faith is absent.

Mrs. Kaag knows that in the Netherlands the organs and institutes of the justice system are nothing more then one production business. The court "Rechtbank Amsterdam" advertises publicly that it produces 140.000 judgments a year, but does not spent a word on the huge discrimination by these. Not a word on its core-duty is a significant sign.

Mrs. Kaag, also in action as representative of parliament and this commission, shows the moral character to combat against individual civil citizens. Who are only 'important' in elections where 'each vote counts'. Mr. Rutte declared earlier and international in combat against Human Rights that (other thinking persons) shall be brought to their knees.

Public scrutiny

Mrs. Kaag, representing here each "people's representative", has no idea at all about the live of people below the latent discrimination line in a war-free country. She has no idea at all in returning peace in a society and to make Human Rights' existence be seen.

So now the Netherlands show that the complete justice system has crashed by its interest in money, work and income, the people's representative ought to declare publicly anyone's respect (article 94, Constitution) to the legal public scrutiny; being the goal of the public pronouncing by a tribunal or judge of its decision. At the public scrutiny's internet site each of you can read the Manifesto and Charter of the public scrutiny and its Manual. The public declaration is nothing more then the restore of the democracy in any justice system and judge-system.

Article 6, EVRM does not intend or pretend that Human Rights exist solely after a tribunal determines it. Our possessions exist long before any lawsuit and retroactive from the date of power of the law concerned.

Pending for satisfactory action(s) within a reasonable (short) time, for ungroupable huge number of solitary individual civil citizens and one can take me as their representative.

Yours sincerely, <Sender>.

<Signature sender>